



Table of Contents



This page is intentionally left blank.



Contents

- Executive Summary S-1
 - S.1 What Is the Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District? S-1
 - S.2 Why the West Lake Corridor? S-1
 - S.3 What Is the West Lake Corridor Project? S-1
 - S.4 Why Is the Project Needed? S-3
 - S.5 What Jurisdictions Are Participating in the Project? S-4
 - S.6 What Alternatives Were Considered in the DEIS for the Project?..... S-4
 - S.7 How was the FEIS Preferred Alternative Identified?..... S-8
 - S.8 What Alternatives Does the FEIS Address? S-9
 - S.9 What Is the FEIS Preferred Alternative? S-12
 - S.9.1 Guideway S-12
 - S.9.2 Vehicle Technology and Traction Power..... S-12
 - S.9.3 Stations S-12
 - S.9.4 Maintenance and Storage Facility and Layover Facility S-18
 - S.9.5 Proposed Service and Operating Plan..... S-18
 - S.10 How Is This FEIS Organized? S-19
 - S.11 What Are the Anticipated Transportation and Environmental Impacts of the Project? S-20
 - S.12 How Have the Public and Local Agencies Been Engaged in the Project? S-48
 - S.13 Where Can I Read the FEIS/ROD?..... S-50
 - S.14 What Are the Next Steps?..... S-50
- 1 Purpose and Need 1-1
 - 1.1 Introduction 1-1
 - 1.1.1 Changes to This Chapter since Publication of the DEIS 1-1
 - 1.1.2 History of the Project 1-1
 - 1.1.3 Project Setting 1-2
 - 1.1.4 Project Description 1-4
 - 1.2 Purpose and Need Statement 1-5
 - 1.2.1 Project Purpose 1-5
 - 1.2.2 Project Need 1-5
 - 1.3 Project Benefits 1-14
 - 1.4 Next Steps 1-16
- 2 Alternatives Considered 2-1
 - 2.1 Introduction 2-1
 - 2.1.1 Changes to This Chapter since Publication of the DEIS 2-1
 - 2.1.2 Alternatives Development Process 2-1
 - 2.2 Alternatives Advanced for Further Study in the DEIS 2-2
 - 2.2.1 No Build Alternative 2-2
 - 2.2.2 DEIS Build Alternatives 2-2
 - 2.2.3 DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative 2-3



- 2.3 Selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative2-10
- 2.4 Alternatives Evaluated in the FEIS.....2-11
 - 2.4.1 No Build Alternative2-11
 - 2.4.2 FEIS Preferred Alternative.....2-13
- 3 Transportation3-1
 - 3.1 Introduction3-1
 - 3.2 Public Transportation3-9
 - 3.2.1 Regulatory Setting.....3-9
 - 3.2.2 Methodology.....3-9
 - 3.2.3 Affected Environment3-10
 - 3.2.4 Environmental Consequences.....3-14
 - 3.2.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures3-16
 - 3.3 Freight Rail3-17
 - 3.3.1 Regulatory Setting.....3-17
 - 3.3.2 Methodology.....3-17
 - 3.3.3 Affected Environment3-17
 - 3.3.4 Environmental Consequences.....3-19
 - 3.3.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures3-20
 - 3.4 Bicycle and Pedestrian3-21
 - 3.4.1 Regulatory Setting.....3-21
 - 3.4.2 Methodology.....3-21
 - 3.4.3 Affected Environment3-22
 - 3.4.4 Environmental Consequences.....3-24
 - 3.4.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures3-28
 - 3.5 Traffic.....3-29
 - 3.5.1 Regulatory Setting.....3-29
 - 3.5.2 Methodology.....3-30
 - 3.5.3 Affected Environment3-34
 - 3.5.4 Environmental Consequences.....3-35
 - 3.5.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures3-43
 - 3.6 Parking3-44
 - 3.6.1 Regulatory Setting.....3-44
 - 3.6.2 Methodology.....3-44
 - 3.6.3 Affected Environment3-45
 - 3.6.4 Environmental Consequences.....3-46
 - 3.6.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures3-56
- 4 Community and Social Analysis.....4-1
 - 4.1 Introduction4-1
 - 4.2 Land Use and Zoning.....4-11
 - 4.2.1 Regulatory Setting.....4-11
 - 4.2.2 Methodology.....4-11
 - 4.2.3 Affected Environment4-11
 - 4.2.4 Environmental Consequences.....4-17
 - 4.2.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures4-20



4.3	Land Acquisitions and Displacements.....	4-21
4.3.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-21
4.3.2	Methodology.....	4-21
4.3.3	Affected Environment.....	4-22
4.3.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-23
4.3.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-25
4.4	Socioeconomics and Economic Development.....	4-28
4.4.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-28
4.4.2	Methodology.....	4-28
4.4.3	Affected Environment.....	4-29
4.4.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-32
4.4.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-36
4.5	Neighborhoods and Community Resources.....	4-37
4.5.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-37
4.5.2	Methodology.....	4-37
4.5.3	Affected Environment.....	4-38
4.5.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-44
4.5.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-48
4.6	Cultural Resources.....	4-50
4.6.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-50
4.6.2	Methodology.....	4-51
4.6.3	Affected Environment.....	4-55
4.6.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-60
4.6.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-61
4.7	Visual Resources.....	4-63
4.7.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-64
4.7.2	Methodology.....	4-64
4.7.3	Affected Environment.....	4-66
4.7.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-71
4.7.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-75
4.8	Safety and Security.....	4-77
4.8.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-77
4.8.2	Methodology.....	4-77
4.8.3	Affected Environment.....	4-78
4.8.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-79
4.8.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	4-85
4.9	Environmental Justice.....	4-87
4.9.1	Regulatory Setting.....	4-87
4.9.2	Methodology.....	4-88
4.9.3	Affected Environment.....	4-90
4.9.4	Environmental Consequences.....	4-99
4.9.5	Public Outreach Strategies and Activities to Engage EJ Populations.....	4-108
4.9.6	Project-wide EJ Analysis Results.....	4-113



5	Physical and Environmental Analysis	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Noise	5-14
5.2.1	Regulatory Setting	5-14
5.2.2	Methodology	5-19
5.2.3	Affected Environment	5-22
5.2.4	Environmental Consequences	5-25
5.2.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-28
5.3	Vibration	5-31
5.3.1	Regulatory Setting	5-31
5.3.2	Methodology	5-34
5.3.3	Affected Environment	5-36
5.3.4	Environmental Consequences	5-37
5.3.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-39
5.4	Air Quality	5-41
5.4.1	Regulatory Setting	5-41
5.4.2	Methodology	5-44
5.4.3	Affected Environment	5-44
5.4.4	Environmental Consequences	5-44
5.4.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-48
5.5	Energy	5-48
5.5.1	Regulatory Setting	5-48
5.5.2	Methodology	5-49
5.5.3	Affected Environment	5-49
5.5.4	Environmental Consequences	5-49
5.5.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-52
5.6	Soils, Geologic Resources, and Farmlands	5-53
5.6.1	Regulatory Setting	5-53
5.6.2	Methodology	5-54
5.6.3	Affected Environment	5-55
5.6.4	Environmental Consequences	5-62
5.6.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-63
5.7	Water Resources	5-65
5.7.1	Regulatory Setting	5-65
5.7.2	Methodology	5-67
5.7.3	Affected Environment	5-69
5.7.4	Environmental Consequences	5-75
5.7.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-79
5.7.6	No Practicable Alternative Finding – Wetlands	5-82



5.8	Biological Resources (Wildlife and Habitat, and Threatened and Endangered Species)	5-83
5.8.1	Regulatory Setting	5-84
5.8.2	Methodology	5-86
5.8.3	Affected Environment	5-88
5.8.4	Environmental Consequences	5-91
5.8.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-93
5.9	Hazardous Materials	5-94
5.9.1	Regulatory Setting	5-94
5.9.2	Methodology	5-95
5.9.3	Affected Environment	5-96
5.9.4	Environmental Consequences	5-104
5.9.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-106
5.10	Utilities	5-108
5.10.1	Regulatory Setting	5-108
5.10.2	Methodology	5-108
5.10.3	Affected Environment	5-109
5.10.4	Environmental Consequences	5-114
5.10.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	5-116
6	Secondary and Cumulative Effects	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Methodology	6-1
6.2.1	Secondary Effects	6-2
6.2.2	Cumulative Effects	6-3
6.3	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	6-3
6.4	Potential Secondary and Cumulative Effects	6-8
6.4.1	Transportation	6-8
6.4.2	Land Use	6-10
6.4.3	Land Acquisitions and Displacements	6-12
6.4.4	Socioeconomics and Economic Development	6-14
6.4.5	Neighborhoods and Community Resources	6-15
6.4.6	Cultural Resources	6-17
6.4.7	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	6-18
6.4.8	Safety and Security	6-19
6.4.9	Environmental Justice	6-21
6.4.10	Noise and Vibration	6-23
6.4.11	Air Quality	6-24
6.4.12	Energy	6-25
6.4.13	Soils, Geologic Resources, and Farmlands	6-25
6.4.14	Water Resources	6-26
6.4.15	Biological Resources (Wildlife and Habitat and Threatened and Endangered Species)	6-28
6.4.16	Hazardous Materials	6-29
6.4.17	Utilities	6-30



- 7 Section 4(f) Evaluation7-1
 - 7.1 Changes to This Chapter Since Publication of the DEIS7-1
 - 7.2 Regulatory Setting7-2
 - 7.3 Organization of This Chapter7-3
 - 7.4 Identification of Section 4(f) Resources.....7-3
 - 7.4.1 Resources Subject to Section 4(f) Evaluation7-6
 - 7.4.2 Resources for Which Joint Planning Applies7-14
 - 7.4.3 Little Calumet River Trail7-15
 - 7.5 Assessment of Use of Section 4(f) Resources7-16
 - 7.5.1 West Lakes Park7-25
 - 7.5.2 Pennsy Greenway (Pennsy Path).....7-25
 - 7.5.3 Monon Trail7-28
 - 7.5.4 Harrison Park7-28
 - 7.5.5 Erie Lackawanna Trail7-30
 - 7.5.6 Dan Rabin Plaza7-32
 - 7.5.7 OK Champion Building7-34
 - 7.5.8 Federal Cement Tile Company Building7-34
 - 7.5.9 Burnham Greenway7-34
 - 7.6 Avoidance Analysis.....7-35
 - 7.6.1 Alternatives Evaluated.....7-35
 - 7.6.2 Feasibility and Prudence Standards7-35
 - 7.7 Least Overall Harm Analysis7-39
 - 7.7.1 Ability to Mitigate Adverse Impacts on Each Section 4(f) Resource7-40
 - 7.7.2 Relative Severity of the Remaining Harm, after Mitigation, to the Protected Activities, Attributes, or Features that Qualify Each Section 4(f) Resource for Protection7-43
 - 7.7.3 Relative Significance of Each Section 4(f) Resource7-44
 - 7.7.4 Views of the Officials with Jurisdiction over Each Section 4(f) Resource.....7-45
 - 7.7.5 Degree to Which Each Alternative Meets the Purpose and Need for the Project.....7-45
 - 7.7.6 After Reasonable Mitigation, the Magnitude of Any Adverse Impacts on Resources Not Protected by Section 4(f)7-45
 - 7.7.7 Substantial Differences in Cost Among Alternatives7-46
 - 7.7.8 Conclusion7-46
 - 7.8 All Possible Planning to Minimize Harm7-48
 - 7.9 Consultation and Coordination7-49
- 8 Section 6(f) Evaluation8-1
 - 8.1 Introduction8-1
 - 8.2 Regulatory Setting8-1
 - 8.3 Methodology8-2
 - 8.4 Affected Environment.....8-2
 - 8.5 Environmental Consequences8-2
 - 8.5.1 No Build Alternative8-2
 - 8.5.2 DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative.....8-2
 - 8.5.3 FEIS Preferred Alternative.....8-4



- 9 Public and Agency Involvement.....9-1
 - 9.1 Introduction.....9-1
 - 9.2 Public and Agency Coordination and Strategy Plans9-1
 - 9.3 Outreach Tools9-2
 - 9.4 Public Outreach Activities9-6
 - 9.4.1 Summary of DEIS Phase Public Outreach.....9-6
 - 9.4.2 Summary of FEIS Phase Public Outreach.....9-8
 - 9.5 Agency Coordination.....9-9
 - 9.5.1 DEIS Phase Agency Outreach Summary9-9
 - 9.5.2 FEIS Phase Agency Outreach Activities.....9-10
 - 9.6 Stakeholder Coordination.....9-11
 - 9.6.1 Briefings of Elected Officials.....9-11
 - 9.6.2 Targeted Resident and Property Owner Information Meetings9-11
 - 9.6.3 Speakers' Bureau and Pop-up Booths.....9-11
 - 9.6.4 Coordination with Other Projects and Studies9-12
 - 9.6.5 Environmental Justice Strategies9-12
 - 9.6.6 Translation9-13
 - 9.7 Summary of Public, Agency, and Stakeholder Outreach and Coordination9-13
 - 9.8 Consultation Pursuant to Section 106, Section 4(f), and Section 6(f)9-21
 - 9.8.1 Section 106 of the NHPA.....9-21
 - 9.8.2 Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 19669-22
 - 9.8.3 Section 6(f) of the LWCFA.....9-23
 - 9.8.4 Summary of Public Comments and Findings9-23
 - 9.9 Comment Summary9-24
 - 9.9.1 Summary of Scoping Comments9-24
 - 9.9.2 Summary of DEIS Comments.....9-25
 - 9.9.3 Responses to DEIS Comments9-27
 - 9.9.4 Next Steps.....9-28
- 10 Evaluation of Alternatives10-1
 - 10.1 Introduction.....10-1
 - 10.2 Effectiveness in Meeting the Purpose and Need.....10-5
 - 10.2.1 Increase Transportation Options for Accessing Downtown Chicago.....10-5
 - 10.2.2 Reduce Travel Time to Downtown Chicago.....10-6
 - 10.2.3 Reduce the Parking Burden at Existing Transit Stations.....10-7
 - 10.2.4 Reduce Travel Costs.....10-8
 - 10.2.5 Promote Economic Development10-9
 - 10.3 Assessment of Findings for Other Build Alternatives and Selection of the DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative10-10
 - 10.4 FEIS Preferred Alternative10-12
 - 10.5 Environmentally Preferable Alternative10-12



11	Financial Considerations	11-1
11.1	Capital Funding Plan.....	11-1
11.1.1	Capital Cost Estimate	11-1
11.1.2	Capital Funding	11-2
11.2	Operations and Maintenance Funding Plan	11-5
11.2.1	Operations and Maintenance Cost Estimate.....	11-5
11.2.2	Operations and Maintenance Funding.....	11-6

Record of Decision



Tables

Table S.8-1: Comparison of DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative and FEIS Preferred Alternative S-11

Table S.11-1: Anticipated Environmental Effects, Commitments, and Mitigation Measures for the FEIS Preferred Alternative S-21

Table S.12-1: Summary of Comments by General Topic S-49

Table 1.2-1: Northwest Indiana Population.....1-6

Table 1.2-2: Northwest Indiana Residence-Work Location1-7

Table 1.2-3: Workers versus Jobs in Northwest Indiana.....1-7

Table 1.2-4: Percentage of Workers by Travel Time and Mode (Lake County to Downtown Chicago)1-11

Table 2.4-1: NIRPC Transportation Improvement Program Projects, 2018–20212-12

Table 2.4-2: CMAP Transportation Improvement Program Projects, Fiscal Year 2017–20202-13

Table 2.4-3: Comparison of DEIS NEPA Preferred and FEIS Preferred Alternatives2-18

Table 3.1-1: Summary of Transportation Effects, Commitments, and Mitigation Measures for FEIS Preferred Alternative.....3-3

Table 3.2-1: NICTD 2037 Average Weekday Ridership3-14

Table 3.3-1: Summary of Freight Rail Effects.....3-19

Table 3.4-1: Summary of Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Effects3-24

Table 3.5-1: Proposed Railroad-highway Grade Crossing Locations.....3-34

Table 3.5-2: Summary of Traffic Operation Effects.....3-35

Table 3.5-3: Projected Annual Regional VMT and VHT in 20403-36

Table 3.5-4: Intersections with Poor LOS – Before Project (No Build) Scenarios3-36

Table 3.5-5: Intersections with Poor LOS – After Project (Build) Scenarios.....3-38

Table 3.5-6: Railroad-highway Grade Crossing Locations and Characteristics3-39

Table 3.6-1: Existing Public Parking.....3-45

Table 3.6-2: Existing Station Parking3-46

Table 3.6-3: Summary of Effects to Parking Facilities Effects.....3-46

Table 4.1-1: Summary of Community and Social Resource Effects, Commitments, and Mitigation Measures for the FEIS Preferred Alternative4-3

Table 4.2-1: Existing Land Use Patterns and Zoning in the Project Area4-12

Table 4.2-2: Summary of Land Use and Zoning Effects4-17



Table 4.3-1: Summary of Acquisitions and Displacements Effects	4-23
Table 4.3-2: Acreage and Acquisitions for the FEIS Preferred Alternative	4-24
Table 4.3-3: Displacements for the FEIS Preferred Alternative	4-25
Table 4.4-1: Population Projections in the Project Area.....	4-29
Table 4.4-2: Housing Characteristics in the Project Area	4-30
Table 4.4-3: Employment Forecasts in the Project Area.....	4-31
Table 4.4-4: Employment and Income in the Project Area.....	4-32
Table 4.4-5: Summary of Socioeconomic Effects	4-33
Table 4.4-6: Taxable Value of Property Removed from Tax Base after Deductions and Annual Tax (2017 Dollars) – FEIS Preferred Alternative	4-35
Table 4.5-1: Summary of Community Resources in the Project Area	4-41
Table 4.5-2: Summary of Neighborhood and Community Resources Effects	4-44
Table 4.6-1: Historic Properties in the Architectural APE.....	4-55
Table 4.6-2: Previous Archaeological Studies in Indiana.....	4-58
Table 4.6-3: Summary of Cultural Resources Effects	4-60
Table 4.7-1: Summary of Visual Character and Quality.....	4-68
Table 4.7-2: Summary of Visual Resources Effects	4-71
Table 4.8-1: Summary of Safety and Security Effects	4-79
Table 4.8-2: New Railroad-highway Grade Crossings.....	4-80
Table 4.9-1: Minority Populations by State, County, and EJ Study Area	4-90
Table 4.9-2: Low-Income Populations by State, County, and EJ Study Area.....	4-97
Table 4.9-3: Summary of Environmental Justice Effects	4-99
Table 4.9-4: Environmental Resource Areas Requiring EJ Analysis.....	4-100
Table 4.9-5: Environmental Justice Analysis Results	4-101
Table 4.9-6: EJ-Focused Community Outreach Activities.....	4-112
Table 5.1-1: Summary of Transportation Effects, Commitments, and Mitigation Measures for FEIS Preferred Alternative.....	5-3
Table 5.2-1: Noise Land Use Categories.....	5-16
Table 5.2-2: Criteria for Construction Noise Assessment	5-18
Table 5.2-3: Estimated Noise Levels, by Construction Phase	5-21
Table 5.2-4: Existing Noise Measurements	5-23



Table 5.2-5: Summary of Noise Effects.....	5-25
Table 5.2-6: Dwelling Units Affected by Noise.....	5-27
Table 5.3-1: Vibration Land Use Categories.....	5-33
Table 5.3-2: Vibration Thresholds, by Land Use and Frequency of Event	5-33
Table 5.3-3: Vibration Criteria for Theater Buildings.....	5-34
Table 5.3-4: Damage Criteria for Sensitive or Fragile Structures.....	5-34
Table 5.3-5: Summary of Vibration Effects	5-37
Table 5.3-6: Dwelling Units Affected by Vibration.....	5-38
Table 5.4-1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards	5-42
Table 5.4-2: Summary of Air Quality Effects.....	5-45
Table 5.5-1: Summary of Energy Effects.....	5-50
Table 5.6-1: NRCS Web Soil Survey Results of Very Limited Suitability for Shallow Excavations.....	5-59
Table 5.6-2: Summary of Soils, Geologic Resources, and Farmlands Effects	5-62
Table 5.7-1: CWA Section 303(d)-listed Impaired Water Resources within the Environmental Survey Area.....	5-70
Table 5.7-2: Summary of Water Resources Effects.....	5-75
Table 5.7-3: Summary of Non-jurisdictional Wetland Impacts for the FEIS Preferred Alternative	5-77
Table 5.7-4: Summary of Jurisdictional Wetland Impacts for the FEIS Preferred Alternative	5-77
Table 5.8-1: Federally Listed and State-Listed Species, Lake County, Indiana	5-85
Table 5.8-2: State-listed Plant Species Observed in the Environmental Survey Area and Potential Impacts on Their Habitats	5-90
Table 5.8-3: Summary of Biological Resources Effects.....	5-91
Table 5.9-1: Summary of RECs in the Project Area	5-96
Table 5.9-2: Sites within and adjacent to the FEIS Preferred Alternative.....	5-97
Table 5.9-3: Phase II Investigation Summary.....	5-102
Table 5.9-4: Summary of Hazardous Material Effects	5-104
Table 5.10-1: Pipeline Locations in the Project Area.....	5-112
Table 5.10-2: Summary of Utility Effects	5-114
Table 6.3-1: Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....	6-4



Table 6.4-1: Transportation-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-9

Table 6.4-2: Land Use-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-11

Table 6.4-3: Land Use-Related Cumulative Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-12

Table 6.4-4: Land Acquisitions and Displacements-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-13

Table 6.4-5: Socioeconomics and Economic Development-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-14

Table 6.4-6: Neighborhood and Community Facilities-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-16

Table 6.4-7: Visual and Aesthetic Resources-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-18

Table 6.4-8: Safety and Security-Related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-20

Table 6.4-9: EJ-related Secondary Effects of Other Build Alternatives Considered in the DEIS6-22

Table 7.4-1: Parks, Recreational Lands, and Wildlife Refuges in the Project Area7-4

Table 7.4-2: NRHP-Eligible or Potentially Eligible Resources in the Project Area7-4

Table 7.5-1: Section 4(f) Assessment of Resources' Use – FEIS Preferred Alternative7-16

Table 7.7-1: Least Overall Harm Comparisons with FEIS Preferred Alternative7-47

Table 9.7-1: Summary of FEIS Outreach and Coordination Activities9-14

Table 9.9-1: Commenting Organizations, Businesses, and Petitions during the DEIS Comment Period9-26

Table 9.9-2: Summary of Comments by General Topic9-27

Table 10.1-1: Summary of Evaluation for No Build and Build Alternatives10-2

Table 10.3-1: Performance Ratings of All Alternatives Considered10-11

Table 11.1-1: West Lake Corridor Project Capital Cost Estimate by Federal Transit Administration Standard Cost Category (Year of Expenditure \$, in millions)11-1

Table 11.2-1: West Lake Corridor Project Incremental O&M Costs (2017 \$, in millions)11-6



Figures

Figure S.3-1: Regional Setting for the West Lake Corridor Project S-2

Figure S.6-1: Build Alternatives Evaluated in the DEIS S-5

Figure S.6-2: DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative S-7

Figure S.8-1: FEIS Preferred Alternative (or Locally Preferred Alternative) S-10

Figure S.9-1: Munster/Dyer Main Street Station S-14

Figure S.9-2: Munster Ridge Road Station S-15

Figure S.9-3: South Hammond Station S-16

Figure S.9-4: Hammond Gateway Station S-17

Figure 1.1-1: Regional Setting for West Lake Corridor Project 1-3

Figure 1.2-1: Rider Origins on SSL and MED, 2013 and 2006 1-10

Figure 1.2-2: East Chicago Station Parking, 2016 1-12

Figure 1.3-1: Project Benefits 1-15

Figure 1.4-1: Project Milestones 1-17

Figure 2.2-1: Build Alternatives Evaluated in the DEIS 2-4

Figure 2.2-2: Commuter Rail Alternative 2-5

Figure 2.2-3: Indiana Harbor Belt Build Alternative 2-6

Figure 2.2-4: Hammond Alternative 2-7

Figure 2.2-5: DEIS NEPA Preferred Alternative 2-8

Figure 2.4-1: FEIS Preferred Alternative 2-14

Figure 3.2-1: Existing and Planned Passenger Rail, Commuter Rail, and CTA Rail
Service 3-12

Figure 3.2-2: Existing Bus Service 3-13

Figure 3.3-1: Existing Freight Rail Lines 3-18

Figure 3.4-1: Bicycle Routes and Trails 3-23

Figure 3.4-2: Pedestrian Effects on Russell Street 3-27

Figure 3.5-1: Level of Service 3-31

Figure 3.5-2: Intersection Analysis Locations 3-32

Figure 3.5-3: 173rd Street at Harrison Avenue Intersection Improvement 3-40

Figure 3.5-4: Railroad-highway Grade Crossings and Road Closure Locations 3-41



Figure 3.6-1: Public Parking Effects on Russell Street3-48

Figure 3.6-2: Public Parking Effects on State Street.....3-49

Figure 3.6-3: Munster/Dyer Main Street Station3-51

Figure 3.6-4: Munster Ridge Road Station3-52

Figure 3.6-5: South Hammond Station3-54

Figure 3.6-6: Hammond Gateway Station3-55

Figure 4.2-1: Existing Land Uses in the Project Area4-13

Figure 4.2-2: Existing Land Uses along the Existing MED/SSL4-14

Figure 4.5-1: General Neighborhood Locations in the Project Area.....4-39

Figure 4.5-2: General Neighborhood Locations along the Existing MED/SSL4-40

Figure 4.5-3: Community Resources in the Project Area.....4-42

Figure 4.5-4: Community Resources along the Existing MED/SSL.....4-43

Figure 4.8-1: Highland Street at Monon Trail in Hammond (View West).....4-81

Figure 4.8-2: Existing SSL Crossing Protection, Sheffield Avenue in Hammond4-82

Figure 4.9-1: Minority Populations in the EJ Study Area.....4-92

Figure 4.9-2: Hispanic/Latino Populations in the EJ Study Area.....4-93

Figure 4.9-3: Black/African American Populations in the EJ Study Area.....4-94

Figure 4.9-4: Asian Populations in the EJ Study Area4-95

Figure 4.9-5: Other Minority Populations in the EJ Study Area.....4-96

Figure 4.9-6: Low-Income Populations in the EJ Study Area.....4-98

Figure 4.9-7: Minority and Low-income Unemployment Rates within 0.5 Mile of Stations4-106

Figure 4.9-8: Minority and Low-income Zero-Vehicle Households within 0.5 Mile
of Stations4-107

Figure 5.2-1: A-weighted Noise Levels.....5-15

Figure 5.2-2: Noise Impact Criteria.....5-17

Figure 5.2-3: Cumulative Form of the Noise Criteria5-18

Figure 5.2-4: Measurement Locations5-24

Figure 5.3-1: Common Vibration Sources5-32

Figure 5.3-2: Surface Vibration Curves5-35

Figure 5.6-1: Geological Formations in the Project Area5-57

Figure 5.6-2: Soil Map Units in the Project Area.....5-58



Figure 5.6-3: Soil Suitability Ratings for Soil Types in the Project Area5-60

Figure 5.6-4: Locations of Agricultural Parcels in Project Area5-61

Figure 5.7-1: Overview of Wetlands in the Environmental Survey Area.....5-71

Figure 5.7-2: Overview of Coastal Zones in the Environmental Survey Area.....5-74

Figure 5.9-1: Locations of RECs along FEIS Preferred Alternative.....5-99

Figure 5.9-2: Location of AOC 1.....5-100

Figure 5.9-3: Locations of AOC 2 through 55-101

Figure 5.9-4: Locations of Phase II ESA Borings.....5-103

Figure 5.10-1: NIPSCO Substation and Overhead Transmission Lines.....5-111

Figure 7.4-1: Section 4(f) Resources.....7-5

Figure 7.4-2: West Lakes Park, Looking East7-6

Figure 7.4-3: Pennsy Greenway (Pennsy Path), Looking East7-7

Figure 7.4-4: Harrison Park, Looking Northwest.....7-8

Figure 7.4-5: Erie Lackawanna Trail in Hammond at Douglas Street, Looking Southwest.....7-9

Figure 7.4-6: Dan Rabin Plaza, Looking South.....7-10

Figure 7.4-7: Two-story Section of the OK Champion Building, Looking Northwest.....7-11

Figure 7.4-8: Federal Cement Tile Company, Present Day, Looking North7-12

Figure 7.4-9: Burnham Greenway at State Street, Looking North.....7-13

Figure 7.4-10: Monon Trail in Munster along Manor Avenue, Looking North7-15

Figure 7.5-1: Location of West Lakes Park.....7-17

Figure 7.5-2: Location of Pennsy Path, Pennsy Greenway, and Monon Trail7-18

Figure 7.5-3: Location of Monon Trail.....7-19

Figure 7.5-4: Location of Monon Trail (cont.).....7-20

Figure 7.5-5: Location of Monon Trail (cont.).....7-21

Figure 7.5-6: Location of Harrison Park, Monon, and Erie Lackawanna Trails7-22

Figure 7.5-7: Location of Harrison Park, Dan Rabin Plaza, and Monon and Erie
Lackawanna Trails.....7-23

Figure 7.5-8: Location of Federal Cement Tile Company and OK Champion Building.....7-24

Figure 7.5-9: Pennsy Greenway and the Project.....7-27

Figure 7.5-10: Harrison Park and the Project7-29

Figure 7.5-11: Erie Lackawanna Trail and the Project.....7-31



Figure 7.5-12: Dan Rabin Plaza and the Project7-33

Figure 8.5-1: Erie Lackawanna Trail and Dan Rabin Plaza8-3

Figure 9.3-1: Summary of Facebook Statistics9-4

Figure 9.3-2: Summary of Project Website Activity9-5



Appendices

Appendix A – Document Supporting Information

- A1. References
- A2. List of Preparers
- A3. Recipients/Distribution List
- A4. Abbreviations and Acronyms
- A5. Glossary
- A6. Index

Appendix B – Section 106 Consultation

Appendix C – Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Evaluation

Appendix D – Public Involvement and Agency Coordination

Appendix E – Engineering Drawings

Appendix F – Transit-Oriented Development

Appendix G – Technical Reports

- G1. Analysis of Capacity on the Metra Electric District to Serve Metra and Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District Growth with West Lake Corridor Service
- G2. West Lake Corridor Project Traffic and Parking Technical Report
- G3. West Lake Corridor Project Acquisitions and Displacements/Economic Assessment Technical Report
- G4. West Lake Corridor Project Visual and Aesthetic Conditions Technical Report
- G5. West Lake Corridor Project Environmental Justice Technical Report
- G6. West Lake Corridor Project Noise and Vibration Technical Report
- G7. West Lake Corridor Project Water Resources Technical Report
- G8. West Lake Corridor Project Indiana Bat and Northern Long-Eared Bat Habitat Assessment
- G9. West Lake Corridor Project Habitat Surveys for Rare Birds
- G10. West Lake Corridor Project Habitat Surveys for Rare Insects, Amphibians, and Reptiles
- G11. West Lake Corridor Project Floristic Quality Assessment and Threatened and Endangered Species Plant Survey Investigation
- G12. West Lake Corridor Hazardous Materials Technical Report



Appendix H – Response to DEIS Comments

- H1. Guide to the DEIS Response to Comments
- H2. Index of Agency Comments, Response to Agency Comments
- H3. Index of Business Comments, Response to Business Comments
- H4. Index of Civic and Community Organization Comments, Response to Civic and Community Organization Comments
- H5. Index of General Public Written Comments, Response to General Public Written Comments
- H6. Index of General Public Verbal Testimony Comments, Response to General Public Verbal Testimony Comments
- H7. Agency Comments Received on DEIS
- H8. Business Comments Received on DEIS
- H9. Civic and Community Organization Comments Received on DEIS
- H10. General Public Comments Received on DEIS
- H11. Transcript and alphabetized comment cards – January 17, 2017 Public Hearing – Dyer
- H12. Transcript and alphabetized comment cards – January 19, 2017 Public Hearing - Hammond
- H13. Transcript and alphabetized comment cards – January 18, 2017 Public Hearing - Munster